Liv. 05-2035 (RUA) 1012/29/05 Case 3:05-cv-02035-RLA Document Page 1 of 5 C 2 9 2005 A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION SAN JUAN, PR

MDL-1657- IN RE VIOXX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL-1699- IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

M D5-1699 MIL

DOCKET NOS. 1657 AND

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEC 1 2 2005

NOV 1 7 2005

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

MDL-1657 CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-31) WITH SEPARATION, REMAND AND MDL-1699 CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-5)

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

On February 16, 2005, the Panel transferred 138 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings in MDL-1657 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Since that time, 2,150 additional actions have been transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon.

On September 6, 2005, the Panel transferred 30 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings in MDL-1699 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Since that time, 121 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Brever.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact which are common to: 1) the actions in MDL-1657 previously transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana and assigned to Judge Fallon; and 2) the actions in MDL-1699 previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Breyer. Each of these actions comprises two sets of claims, as follows: 1) claims relating to the prescription drug Vioxx that involve common questions of fact with the previously transferred MDL-1657 actions; and 2) claims relating to the prescription drugs Bextra and/or Celebrex that involve common questions of fact with the previously transferred MDL-1699 actions.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Eastern District of Louisiana for the reasons stated in the MDL-1657 transfer order of February 16, 2005, 360 F.Supp.2d

inasmuch as no objection is with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon: pending at this time, the stay is lifted. DEC - 5 2005 CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

2005

DEC =

is chargest is a true one convect copy. of recording on the in my office.

Case 3:05-cv-02035-RLA Document 9 Filed 12/29/05 Page 2 of 5

The claims in each action relating to Bextra and/or Celebrex are hereby separated and remanded, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), to their respective transferor courts.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), the resulting Bextra/Celebrex actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the MDL-1699 transfer order of September 6, 2005, ____F.Supp.2d____ (J.P.M.L. 2005), and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the offices of i) the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, and ii) the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerks shall be stayed fifteen (15) days from the entry thereof and if any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen (15) day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Michael J. Beck

Clerk of the Panel

PAGE 1 of 2

SCHEDULE CTO-31 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS DOCKET NO. 1657 IN RE VIOXX PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

SCHEDULE CTO-5 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS DOCKET NO. 1699 IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ALABAMA NORTHERN ALN 2 05-2059	Charlotte Woodruff, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN CAN 4 05-3943	Warren Wayne Graham v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
KENTUCKY EASTERN KYE 7 05-329	Patricia M. Moore v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed12/2/05
KENTUCKY WESTERN KYW 3 05-561 KYW 3 05-562 KYW 3 05-623	Rhoda Overstreet v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Randall R. Jackson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Bonnie Mullins, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Ernest D. Weber, Jr., et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MINNESOTA MN 0 05-2305	Ira Basinger, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
MISSOURI EASTERN MOE	Lonnie Case v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Jessie Abbott v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Bertha Armstead v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Berlin Jenkerson v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1587 MOE 4 05-1588 MOE 4 05-1589 MOE 4 05-1590	Lash Angel, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Martha Vowell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Martha Hallman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. David Wagner v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1592 MOE 4 05-1666 MOE 4 05-1669 MOE 4 05-1741	John Kaezmarczyk v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Jerry M. Dance v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Doris Crenshaw v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Jeanette Lasky v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1744 MOE 4 05-1746 MOE 4 05-1747	Francesca A. Salmieri v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Vincent Calamia v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Thomas Kasper v. Merek & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1748 MOE 4 05-1750 MOE 4 05-1751 MOE 4 05-1752	Bernadean Acreman v. Merck & Co.; Inc, et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Josephine Tourville v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Jacqueline M. Lawrence v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Adele Anthon v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1755 MOE 4 05-1756 MOE 4 05-1756 MOE 4 05-1757	James B. Elgin, Jr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Richard Menzel v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Marcy A. West v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Hilda L. Tucker v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05
MOE 4 05-1758 MOE 4 05-1761 MOE 4 05-1785 MOE 4 05-1791	Arzie Stephens v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Opposed 12/2/05 Mattie Boswell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al. Lavella Hon v. Pfizer, Inc., et al. John Ayers v. Pfizer, Inc., et al.

SCHEDULE CTO-31 TAG-ALONG ACTIONS (MDL-1657) SCHEDULE CTO-5 TAG-ALONG ACTIONS (MDL-1699)

TNE 1 05-277

PAGE 2 of 2

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	CASE CAPTION
MOE 4 05-1792	Sharron Mann v. Pfizer, Inc., et al.
MOE 4 05-1849	Cheryl Rice, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
MISSOURI WESTERN	
MOW 4 05-934	Charles Reliford, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
MOW 4 05-936	Larry Redman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
NEW YORK NORTHERN	
NYN 5 05-1222	Mary Wegerski v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
NYN 6 05-1218	Richard Zupkas, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
OPECON	
OREGON OR 3 05-1569	Le Nguyen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.
OK 3 03-1309	Le riguyen v. Merck & Co., mc., et al.
PUERTO RICO	
PR 3 05-2020	William Lopez-Lopez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2022	Juan Lasalle-Lopez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2023	Luz M. Salinas-Roldan, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2024	Rosaura Quiles-Rivera, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2026	Herminia Sansotta-Navarro, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2030	Maria Isabel Velez-Reyes, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2033	Elsie Roman-Iglesias, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2034	Jose Antonio Alicea-Mercado, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2035	Gloria Pilar Santiago-Serrano, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2036	Carmen Marcial-Hernandez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2038	Arnaldo Ramos-Martir, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2039	Wilfredo Rodriguez-Feliciano, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2042	Luis R. Carrion-Velez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2043	Luis Angel Gonzalez-Rodriguez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al. Vacated 12/2/05
PR 3 05-2044	Grisella Lopez-Magobet, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2045	Teresita Falcon-Matos, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2046	Gloria Lamourt-Cardona, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2047	Maria Lopez-Sanchez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2048	Esther Cordova-Perez, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
PR 3 05-2050	Hector B. Rivera-Rivera, et al. v. Astra Merck, Inc., et al.
TENNESSEE EASTERN	
TEMPOSEE EMSTERM	

Susan H. Scissom, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Richard W. Wieking Clerk

12 December 2005

U.S. District Court
District of Puerto Rico
150 Carlos Chardon Street
Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918

San Francisco, CA 94102 415-522.2006 U.S. DISTRICT O SAN JUAN, F

Re: MDL 05-1699 In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Title of Case(s)

Gloria Pilar Santiago-Serrano, et al -v- Astra Merck, Inc., et al

Your Case Number(s) C.A. No. 3:05-2035 (RLA)

450 Golden Gate Avenue

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed is a certified copy of the order from the Judicial panel on Multidistrict Litigation transferring the above entitled action to the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division. The case has been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer for coordinated or consolidated pretrial processing pursuant to 28 USC §1407.

Please forward the original record and a certified copy of the docket entries in the case listed above along with the enclosed copy of this transmittal letter to:

United States District Court Northern District of California 450 Golden Gate Avenue, P.O. Box 36060 San Francisco, CA 94102 Attn: Rufino Santos

If the case is an electronic case filing, please provide us with a temporary log in and a password to directly access your database and to expedite the downloading of the PDF files of prior postings. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: Rufino Santos Deputy Clerk

Encl.